



Office of the Attorney General
State of Texas

DAN MORALES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

April 29, 1998

Ms. Dianne Eagleton
Supervisor, Records Division
North Richland Hills Police Department
P.O. Box 820609
North Richland Hills, Texas 76182-0609

OR98-1075

Dear Ms. Eagleton:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Open Records Act, chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 115051.

The City of North Richland Hills (the "city") received a request for a particular investigative file. You state that the city has released to the requestor a copy of portions of the requested information. You assert that portions of the information is excepted from required public disclosure based on section 552.108 of the Government Code.

Section 552.108 of the Government Code reads in pertinent part as follows:

(a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if:

(1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime


...

(c) This section does not except from the requirements of Section 552.021 information that is basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime.

You inform us that the case is pending. We therefore believe that the release of the information "would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." Section 552.108 is inapplicable to basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). We believe such basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle Publishing Co. v. City of Houston*, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.--Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), *writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam*, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976). Thus, with the exception of the basic information, the city may withhold the report from disclosure based on section 552.108(a)(1).

We are resolving this matter with this informal letter ruling rather than with a published open records decision. This ruling is limited to the particular records at issue under the facts presented to us in this request and may not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records. If you have questions about this ruling, please contact our office.

Yours very truly,



Kay Hastings
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

KHH/rho

Ref.: ID# 115051

Enclosures: Submitted documents

cc: Ms. Debbie Wells
1820 Realistic Court
Bedford, Texas 76021
(w/o enclosures)